

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/633,710         | 08/05/2003        | Kouichiro Ninomiya   | Q76859              | 6766             |  |
| 23373              | 7590 10/08/2004   |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC |                   |                      | HO, HOAI V          |                  |  |
| SUITE 800          | YLVANIA AVENUE, N | l.W.                 | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGTO          | ON, DC 20037      |                      | 2818                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |                  |
|--|--|---|------------------|
|  | 10/633,710   | NINOMIYA ET AL.   |                  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   |   |                  |
|  | Hoai V. Ho   | 2818 <sub>Init</sub>  | 22674-470        |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   |  |   | 236Y*p1782<br>ss |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thi<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>a, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commit  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication.       |
| Status   |  |   |                  |
| <ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under B</li> </ul>  | s action is non-final.<br>Ince except for formal ma  |   | erits is         |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |                  |
| <ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>   |  |   |                  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |                  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine   | cepted or b) objected to<br>drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>tion is required if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1   | / * *            |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |                  |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.  ts have been received in a  prity documents have been  tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No n received in this National Sta  | age              |
| Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) ☐ Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)   |                  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No   | (s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-15  | 52)              |

## Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) a specific subword driver circuit for a memory cell array (claims 1-5) that reflects to figure 3; and 2) a subword driver circuit for a memory cell array (claims 6-8) that reflects to figure 1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai V. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1777. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -- 5:30 PM from Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hvh

September 6, 2004

HAS

Hoai V. Ho

HIV

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818